# UKRAINIANS AND THE POLISH REVOLT OF 1863



"SLAVIA LIBRARY"

New Haven, Conn.

1961

### UKRAINIANS AND THE POLISH REVOLT OF 1863



Slavia Library 418 W. Miliany Ave. State Callage, Pa. 16801

·			





### Wasyl Luciw, Ph.D.

### Ukrainians and the Polish Revolt of 1863

(A CONTRIBUTION TO THE HISTORY OF UKRAINIAN-POLISH BELATIONS)



"SLAVIA LIBRARY" New Haven, Conn. 1961 All rights free.
1961.

## TO THE MEMORY OF TARAS SHEVCHENKO 1814 - 1861

### CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	11
II.	Polish-Ukrainian Relations Before the Revolt	12
111.	Influence of the Revolt of 1863 upon Polish-Ukrainian Reciprocity	20
IV.	Conclusion	36
	Appendix	41
	Bibliography	64



Margrave Alexander Wielopolski.

### UKRAINIANS AND THE POLISH REVOLT OF 1863

### I. INTRODUCTION

Much has been written and discussed among the Ukrainians and Poles concerning Polish-Ukrainian relations. One of the reasons for this has been that historical circumstances united the fate of Ukraine and Poland for long years. This unfortunate union brought the Ukrainian nation much misfortune. As a result of it, the Ukrainians lost almost all their leading class, and some of the Ukrainian territories were threatened with complete and irretrievable annexation.

True, Ukrainians fortunately survived this period of their history; only the Ukrainian lands west of the Curzon line lost their national character, while on the other hand, historical Poland fell—declined to a second-class role, and today finds itself in the same circumstances as Ukraine.

Considering the importance of the Ukrainian-Polish problems, it is worthwhile to review some of the dust-covered, forgotten pages of history and throw an objective light on matters about which the public should know.

Among the forgotten historical events lies the Polish revolt of 1863, or more specifically, the Polish-Ukrainian relations of that time. It is surprising that work on this subject has been limited to small newspaper items or articles and some small mention in literature; up to this time, we have no broad work on the subject. The author of this paper has attempted to fill this gap on the basis of collected material. This work attempts to provide material for a history, and therefore, does not pretend to be a full and exhaustive work. Lack of archival material may possible have been the cause of certain deviation and inaccuracy; if so, I ask the reader's pardon. One purpose of this work is to uncover that which

has overgrown with moss, and depict it in the aspect of historical events and situations. Another purpose of this work is—instead of creating barricades and entanglements of barbed wire—to endeavor to carry the attack to the conscience and spirit of our neighbors who are experiencing the same fate as ours.

### II. POLISH-UKRAINIAN RELATIONS BEFORE THE REVOLT

In this chapter we will consider Ukrainian-Polish relations in the years 1860-1863, the period directly prior to the revolt. First, it is our belief that Moscow's failure in the Crimean War (1853-56) revealed all the ills of the state system, thus forcing the administration to carry out a number of reforms. Most important of these were the abolishment of slavery in 1861, and the reformation of the judiciary. In addition, municipal self-administration was reformed, length of military service was reduced from twenty-five years to three or four years, and there were even expectations of a constitution. Tsar Alexander II gave permission for the return from exile of members of the Brotherhood of SS. Cyril and Methodius, and social life was reborn following the decade of Nicholas's despotism. Initially, Ukrainian life was centered in St. Petersburg; later, it was transferred to Ukraine. In the cities, Hromady (communities) were organized which published and propagated Ukrainian books, established "Sunday Schools" for children and adults, and organized exhibits and concerts, through such activities strengthening the Ukrainian ideal.

Kiev became the center of the social-cultural movement. It is proper to note that the Tsarist government spared no efforts to demoralize the youth of the University of Kiev, established in 1834. Bibikov, governor of Kiev, could boast before the Tsar that "the youth of Kiev dances but does not think." A year after the Crimean war, the youth began to organize. Clandestine, humorous newspapers such as the Bigus Hultajski appeared in Polish denouncing the errors of youth. The Polish student youth of Kiev established Gminy

<sup>1)</sup> Review of the Teofil Szumski's story Na gruzach in "Slolo" (Village), ed, by Paulin Świencicki, Lviv 1866, No. 2, p. 159.



Leaders of the January's Revolt (1863.) Chmielinski, Sierakowski, Mackiewicz, Langewicz, Bosak, Lelewel-Borelowski, Taczanowski, Kruk and Dabrowski.

(Polish for "communities") based on the Ukrainian "communities." The more affluent taxed themselves for the benefit of the poor, established libraries, and completed collections of banned works. The Gimina in Kamenets-Podilsky established a store where goods could be purchased at a minimum price and instituted a six-month period of credit for the poor.

Democratic vouth of noble extraction met with the people and came to the conclusion that the populace should not be identified with the Polish gentry—that their paths diverged because the populace had enough power for an independent life. The fiery works of Shevchenko awakened ardor in the youth, and the Ukrainian people found among the Polish university students devoted friends who desired to work for the Ukrainian good and to demand for Ukrainians the land appropriations and education which, as a minority, they lacked. The nobility attacked the youth for their acts, calling them "demagogues;" ideas about citizenship for the peasantry were called "mania," and all friends of the common people were called khlopomany (common-man maniacs). True, these endeavors were not without results. The aforementioned democratic youth (gentry and Catholies), now called khlopomany, separated and created an independent group-Hromada (Community). They rejected the slogan of nobility—"to elevate the populace," and did not act su-perior in any way. Rather, they became one with the common people; they accepted the Ukrainian tongue, Ukrainian customs, manners, and dress. In brief, in their struggle for the welfare of the common people they became khlopy (commonmen), from which term the contemptuous name khlopomanu was derived.

In 1860, the youth who had not become a component of the *Hromady* united the gymnasium *Gminy* into provincial ones. Five *Gminy* were established: the Podolian, Volynian, Ukrainian, Lithuanian and that of Congress Poland. These *Gminy* united into the *Ogól* in opposition to the Ukrainian *Hromada*. Only later did the more poorly organized Muscovites and Jews follow.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2)</sup> Ssumski, op. cit., p. 159,

<sup>3)</sup> Ibid., p. 160.

The Ukrainian *Hromada* in Kiev was headed by Volodyyr Antonovych, who left the camp of the nobility for the hlopomany, explaining this step as follows:

Fate willed me to be born in Ukraine a nobleman; since childhood my customs were those of the gentry and for long I have shared all the principles and national prejudices of the people among whom I was reared. Then when the time came for self-appraisal, I serenely weighed my position in the country, weighed all the errors, all the aspirations of the society in which fate placed me—and I observed that its moral position was hopeless if it did not diverge from its viewpoint of exclusiveness, from its pretenses to the country and its populace. I saw that the Polish gentry who reside in Ukraine had before the tribunal of their own conscience only two ways out: either, to love the people among whom they resided, to concern themselves with the people's interests, to return to the nationality abandoned at one time by their predecessors, and, in the measure of their power, with untiring labor and love, to do penance for all the evil which they caused the populace that had reared many generations of aristocratic colonists, said populace having been repaid by the Polish gentry only by jealousy, quarrels, degration of its re-ligion, customs, morals, and dignity; or, in the event that there was not enough moral strength, to settle on Polish soil, populated by Polish people, so that each man might finally acquit himself in his own heart of the following sad accusation: "I, too, am a colonist. I directly or indirectly feed on foreign labor, block the road to the development of the people into whose homeland I came without invitation, with ambitions alien to it. I, too, belong to the camp which desires to block the development of the natives, and although without guilt, I share responsibility for its deeds."4

The above declaration by Volodymyr Antonovych was of only his creed but it was also the creed of other Polish polonized gentry who went over to the Ukrainian camp. he name of Antonovych, as well as that of the renowned searcher on Slavic culture, Konstantyn Mychalchuk, the conomist Tadey Rylsky, the ethnographer Borys Poznansky, and other outstanding scientists who dedicated their works

<sup>4)</sup> M. Holubeć: Velyka Istoria Ukrainy, Winnipeg 1948, p. 696; I. Cholmy: Istoria Ukrainy (History of Ukraine), p. 821.

to the Ukrainian people, became famous in the European scientific world.

The above members of the *Hromady*, especially Professor Antonovych, were, at that time, a threat to the *Moshrodiyi* (as the nobility was called) who could not ignore the declaration of Antonovych because it had touched the depth of their conscience.

Of the Gminy, it should be said that each of them was comprised of several hundred members. Every member considered it an honor to belong to the Ogól. Leaving a Gmina was considered a "disgrace," and those who had done so were not even accepted in the homes of the gentry.

The Gminy held sessions twice a year. In cases of necessity, special sessions were held. At meetings they elected representatives. Five representatives of the Gminu constituted the representative committee, which resolved minor general matters of the Ogól, and the complete Gminy considered and resolved local matters of greater importance. In cases of differences, each Gmina elected representatives to the General Meeting, which, under the guidance of a president elected by the representatives, resolved the matter. Meetings were held in private homes, and an attendance of several hundred people was not uncommon. The police pretended they were unaware of this and created no obstacles, although the speakers were very outspoken. In addition to the representative committee, there were others; administrative, library and educational. Later, premises called Hospoda (inn) were hired for meetings. The Hospoda contained a collection of books and a reading room where they also filed copies of newspapers, the majority of which were illegal. The Tsaris government de jure did not recognize the Ogól, but de facto was forced to contend with it and, out of necessity, to nego tiate with it. Not only that, but individual citizens considered it an honor to be a member of any type of Gmina and even placed their personal problems before the Ogól for decision

And now, a few words concerning the principles and character of the Gminy. Members of the Podolian, Volynian and Ukrainian Gminy considered themselves citizens of the

<sup>5) &</sup>quot;Siolo," op. cit., p. 160.

country; they did not renounce leadership of the given areas, but they endeavored conscientiously to fulfill all their obligations toward the country. They did not segregate themselves from the Ukrainian populace; they called themselves Rusyny (meaning Ukrainians) and they tried to represent the Ukrainian interests. Because, during the course of centuries. great changes had taken place and the people, as was averred, had appropriated the Polish culture from the gentry and entered into the composition of the Polish state, the citizens of three Ukrainian provinces (Podilia, Volynia, and Ukraine), in addition to their own designation of Rusyny, preserved the name of the Poles, and called themselves Poliako-Rusyny (Poles-Ukrainians). This youth, although Polish, was active in educating the populace. It established schools in which the Polish language was not even taught-only the Ukrainian. For instance, in Kiev a model three-class school was established in which the Ukrainian language was used in lectures. This School Committee published a Ukrainian dictionary, and the Pedagogic Council published several popular books for the populace.7 The "Society of Friends of the Populace" was organized, the members speaking Ukrainian among themselves.

The activities of the Ogól and the Gminy interested the "Catholic Gentry Society," and they demanded from the Ogól recognition of their political credo. The youth of three provinces called a conference of their Gminy, and later, at a general meeting, issued a written proclamation that, although they did not intend to break with the Polish element, they considered themselves to be Ukrainian (Ruski) citizens; therefore, the defense of the rights of Ukraine, with which they were federatively connected, was their first concern and Poland their second, inasmuch as they united with Poland as an equal with an equal and the free with the free. The Ogól decided to print this proclamation in the foreign press.

To all the announcements and activities of the *Poliako-Rusyny*, Congress Poland and the Lithuanian *Gminy* took a passive attitude, participating only in matters which con-

<sup>6) &</sup>quot;Siolo," op. cit., p. 162.

<sup>7)</sup> Ibid., p. 162.

<sup>6)</sup> IMd., p. 164,

### CENTRALNY NARODOWY KOMITET

jabo tymessowy

RIAD NARODOWY

swadywaty, że uwłaszczenie włościen pomimo ogólośj chęci kruju, z powośe stawianych przez Rząd Najendniery przestkód dotąd do akutku nie dozelo—obok fego

gwatywszy, że oddanie gospodarzom rotnym na własność gruntow, detąd przez nich tytułom czynszów, palazczyzny lub innych obowiązkow

postadanych, emniejsza mienie dotyhczasowych włatcicieli,

postanowit I stanowt:

Art. 1. Wszelba posiadłość ziemska jaką każdy gospodarz dotąd tytułent pańszczyzny, czynazu lub innym tytułem posiadal— wraz z należnemi do niej ogrodami, zabudowaniami mieszkalnemi i gospodarskiemi, tudzież prawami i przywiejami do niej przywiązanemi—od daty niniejszece Dekretu staję się wyłaczną i dziedziczna dotychciasowego posiadacza własnobcią, bez żadnych jakichkolwiek hąć obuwiązków, damin, pańszczyzsy lub czynazu, z warankiem jedynie opłacania przypadających z niej podałkow i odbywania należnej służby krajowej.

Art. 2. Dotychozasowi właścielele nadanych gospodarzom rolnym gruntów, otrzymają odpowiednią wartości tychże indemizacyi 2 fuoduszów

narodowych sa pośrednietwem długu Państwa.

Art. S. Zasady do oznaczenia wysokości szacnnku ziemi, oraz rodzaj

instytucyi kredytowej w osobnych dokretach wskazane boda --

Art. 6. Wsantkie Ultazy, Reskrypta przez Rzad Najezdniczy w przedmincu tak zwanych stosunków włościańskich wydane znoszą się, a tem samem nikogo nie obowiązują —

Art. 3. Dekret niniejszy stosowany być winien tak do własności prywatnych. Jako téż i do własności Rzędowych, Donacyjnych, Kościolnych

s wszelkich innych

Art. 6. Ogłoszenie i wprowadzenie w wykonanie niniejszego Dekretu, Centrality Narodowy. Komitel jako tymczasowy. Rząd Narodowy. Naczeluskom wojakowym i wojewódzkim poleca.

Dan w Warszawie 22 Stycznia 1863 r.

### CENTRALNY NAHODOWY KOMITET

jako tymczesowy

RZĄD NARUDOWY

zważywsty, że wymaga tak najwiekszej liesby walczęcych i nikt od pałnienia służby wojskowej wymowie się nie może, zważywszy nadto, że każdy obywatel z pracy rąk utrzymujący się skoro pojekte na wojnę miść winien zapewniony byt tak dla siebie jak i dla swej rodzny

pestanowil I stanowi:

Art. 1 Chatupancy, Zugroduicy, Komoraicy, Parobey I wogole wszyscy obywatele z zarobku jedynie utrzymanie mający, którzy powołani do broas, w szeregach wojaka narodowego za Ojosyzeg walczyć będą otrzymaja a w ratie sch śmierci śony 1 dziesi na własność po ukończeniu wojaky z Debr Narodowych dział gruntu najmniki mórg trzy przestrzeni rawie rający.

Art. 2. Ogłeszenie i wprowadasnie w wykonanie niniejszego Dekratul Contralny Narodowy Komitet jako tymczanowy Rząd Narodowy Naczelni-Ram wajakowym i wajewodzkim połoca:

Das w Warmawie 29 Stycznia 1843 r.

The edict of the Polish Central National Committee (January 22, 1863.)

cerned the Ogól, because they always considered themselves aliens in Ukraine.

The main difference between the Gminy of the Poliako-Rusyny and members of the Hromady was that the principal purpose of the members of the Hromady was to broaden education among the populace, and in the political aspect to lean or to depend on the strength of the Ukrainian nation whereas, at that time, the Gminy had a distinct purpose: to liberate themselves from Muscovite domination and to unite with Lithuania and Congress Poland as one federative state. In political affairs, the Hromady depended upon Providence and the destiny of the Ukrainian nation; they frequently broke with certain moments of the past, yet they had no plans for the future, and they acted according to the needs of the day. On the other hand, the Ogol had a definite political purpose; they distinctly noted positive moments of Polish-Ukrainian mutual relations in the past, and dreamed of the greatness of the "Polish Republic" in the future."

Members of the *Hromady* were called *rizuny* (butchers) by the gentry, while the Poliako-Rusyny were more often called khlopomany, although there were other less popular names for both. In the Gminy there was a certain faction of the youth at the helm. This faction of the youth was impressed by their position since, after all, it was a certain honor to administer the affairs of the country. In order not to lose that power, this faction of the youth permitted no parliamentarianism which might have taken that power away from them. The youth, in general, opposed them, nicknaming them coruphaei (l. corypheeus) and pointing out all their errors. A fierce struggle began between the coruphaei, the students, and the other members of the Gminy. First, Lithuania got rid of the coryphaei; them a movement against them started in Ukraine. This led to serious changes, especially in the Podolian Gmina where an internal reorganization was carried out. After the reform, the Podolian Gming differed very distinctly from the two other Gminy in Ukraine. True, in general matters the students continued to discuss affairs together, while the Ogól decided everything. For instance, in 1862, in the uni-

<sup>9) &</sup>quot;Siolo," op. cit., p. 162; Encyklopedija Ukrainoznavstva, vol. I, p. 11, p. 478.

versity auditorium in Kiev, conferences and heated discusion lasted three weeks because the Tsarist government is tended to promulgate certain laws which would limit to freedom of the students. Indignation rose to such a pointhat all government papers were destroyed, and the majori of the students declared that the university must cultivate not only scientists but also good citizens of the country.

This was the period before the Polish revolt. The agitate youth began demonstrations. The Ogól consented to this ar did not order the arrested to deny their guilt. Polish stude leaders were arrested and imprisoned. The Polish youth Ukraine began to see matters more soberly. Despite tl resolutions of the Gminy of Lithuania and Congress Polan the Ukrainian Gminy resolved that the prisoners shou plead not guilty of singing national songs and anthem because the government released such cases. To this, one the members of the Congress Poland Gmina became empa sioned and shouted that it was for Congress Poland to give orders and for Lithuania and Ukraine to obey. Then Stepha Bobrowski rose and announced that it was nonsense t advance such pretenses, and that if other members of th Congress Poland Gmina were in accord with such ideas, the Rus' (Ukraine) did not want to have anything to do wit them and would break off reciprocal relations.11 This ha a positive influence, and thenceforth the members of th Lithuanian and Congress Poland Gminu never again expresse themselves in matters strictly Ukrainian. True, in a ver short time, members of the aforementioned Gminy Ukraine for the eventual organization of the revolt.

The members of the *Gminy* in Ukraine were also active in this direction. Thus, the memorable "January Revolt" (Polish Revolt of 1863) approached for the Poles, together with events tragic in their culmination for Poland.

### HI, UKRAINIANS AND THE POLISH REVOLT OF 1863

Since our purpose is to investigate the Polish revolt of 1863 from the aspect of the Polish-Ukrainian relations, we

<sup>10) &</sup>quot;Siolo," No. 2, Lviv, 1866, pp. 163-4.

<sup>11)</sup> Ibid., p. 164.

shall not go into a lengthy review of the revolt itself because for this there is ample Polish literature. We give here only a general outline of the insurrection itself and the preparations for it, placing special emphasis on the activity of the insurgents among the Ukrainians.

On closer examination, we see to our astonishment that this outstanding but saddest page in the history of the Polish nation, the "January Revolt," enveloped the major portion of the Ukrainian national territory. The majority of the battles and skirmishes took place on Ukrainian soil. Ukrainians, not only by origin or blood, but also those who possessed a definite Ukrainian national identity played a dominant role in its preparation and in the revolt itself. At the same time, the Ukrainian populace decided it was a lost cause, although, under other circumstances, it could have supported the Revolt and brought about victory.

Among the Polish citizenry, two political parties were in the lead---"Whites" and "Reds." Their policies and methods of struggle were varied. The "Whites" were the gentry and wealthy burghers who gathered for conferences at the home of Count Andrew Zamojski. The "Reds" endeavored at all costs to bring about war with Moscow and maintained a more democratic character. A third party, headed by Marquis Alexander Wielopolski, asked for peace with the Tsarist government.

At that time, the Tsarist governor in Warsaw was M. Gorchakov. He saw that Moscow must resort to concessions and give Poland some relief. For that reason, he received permission from the Tsar to organize an administrative commission for education and religion, headed by a Polish minister. The Russophile, Marquis Wielopolski, was appointed. He distinguished himself by severe treatment of the democratic youth and by disbanding the "Agricultural Society," which act brought about one of the most bloody manifestations in Warsaw. In the demonstration over 200 people were killed and as many wounded. Nevertheless, this was only a spark to the explosion; these victims did not

<sup>12)</sup> O. Nazariyiv: Povstannia 1863 r. i Ukraina in "Ilustrovana Ukraina" Lviv, Dec. 1, 1913, p. 4.

quench the resistance but brought about a whole series of manifestations in Poland, Lithuania and Ukraine. The "Reds" were especially active. Fear overcame the Tsar. He dispatched Prince Konstantyn to Poland as governor, and he appointed Wielopolski as chief of the civil government. On June, 8, 1962, Congress Poland received full autonomy. Despite this, the "Reds" did not interrupt their revolutionary activities and they committed several outrages. The populace was rebellious and restless; the number of arrests and exiles to Siberia increased. Wielopolski saw that matters were nearing an explosion and for that reason ordered compulsory military service in the Russian army. This decree hastened the revolt. Conscription into military service was to take place on January 17, 1863, and on January 22nd insurrection broke out simultaneously in several places. 13

Polish sources report that initially there were only 10,000 insurgents, poorly clothed and lacking in weapons. For the most part, these were youths unaccustomed to hardhips and untempered in battle. The Russians appeared with a much larger army—almost 83,000 men armed and equipped with 120 cannons—while the insurgents had none.<sup>14</sup>

Polish revolutionary committees were formed in Warsaw and Vilna. The administration of the Committees was recognized by the lesser gentry and priests, while the more important gentry and magnates offered no resistance to them. The Committee members conducted broad scale propaganda among the peasantry, especially among the Ukrainians, and some of the villages, or sections of the peasantry as a whole, swore allegiance to them. The insignificant participation of the peasantry in this revolt might be explained by the revolt's intelligentsia-aristrocratic character. The organizers of the revolt did not properly recruit the peasantry. True, special circulars, a few articles and two types of the so-called "Golden Writs" were issued for the Ukrainian population. The populace did not rally to the obscure promises and did not support the insurrection. The organizers of the revolt on

<sup>13)</sup> Watra-Przewłocki: History of Poland, publ. in Stevens Points, U.S.A.

<sup>14)</sup> Ibid., p. 526.

<sup>15)</sup> O. Nazariyiv, op. cit., pp. 4-7; D. Doroshenko: Istoria Ukrainy, Crakow, 1942, p. 209.

the right bank acted very unwisely and frivolously. Let us cite an example. A group of armed Kievan youth marched on the villages for the purpose of inciting the populace to revolt. The "Golden Writ" was to serve as a means of propaganda and verbal agitation. Some villages received them sympathetically, even with favor. By accident, they came to the village of Soloviyiwka in Radomyshl county, a village unfamiliar to them. The local population adopted a hostile attitude toward them because the villagers were unsuccessful in reaching an understanding with the Kievan youth. Motivated by the lack of tact on the part of the Kievan youth, the peasants surrounded the insurgents, murdered a majority of them by brutal methods, and captured the remainder by using units of the Russian army.16 Polish historians attribute this incident to anti-Polish propaganda by Moscow. In our opinion, this is not so. Undeniably, Moscow waged its propaganda, but in this instance the outcome was decided by the indifference and even hostility of the Ukrainian peasantry toward the insurgents, which attitude was caused by the everlasting serfdom of Ukraine under Poland, the exploitation of Ukrainian peasants by the Polish nobility, and an improperly prepared political program for the Ukrainians.

The later course of this revolt is known. Unnecessarily, two administrations were formed. One, the so-called "Central Committee." elected Microslawski dictator. and declared itself the "Popular Government." The "Whites," fearing that the "Reds" would incite a social revolution, proclaimed Marian Langiewicz dictator. Langiewicz succeeded in gathering together a more significant rebel force, and he waged successful battles in Sandomierz province in the Swietokrzyski Hills. The Muscovites finally defeated the forces of Langiewicz who then fled to Galicia where the Austrians interned him. Mieroslawski was not so furtunate in battle: the Muscovites defeated him earlier and he saved himself by fleeing abroad. Taczanowski was active in Poznań province, but the stronger foe defeated his divisions. The "Popular Government" issued an order that the populace lay down their arms and depart to their homes. The peasants became in-

<sup>16)</sup> Nazariyiv, op. cit., p. 5.

dignant and complained, "You gentry are always the same! The time will come when we, the peasants, will incite a revolt without you." Fighting continued in various parts of the country, as well as in Lithuania, Byelorussia and in Ukraine. The insurgents fought heroically, attacking the many times stronger enemy, and frequently achieving miracles. The leaders constantly buoved the spirits of the insurgents, believing that help would shortly come from France and Austria. The principal representative of Polish affairs abroad was Prince Władysław Czartoryski. It was he who influenced Romuald Traugut to accept secret dictatorship in the middle of November, 1863. Traugut was an unusually capable officer of the Tsarist army. He joined the revolt, advanced on Byelorussia, and defeated the Muscovites several times. He selected the most capable people for his administration but by April of the following year the Muscovites had arrested them all, and in August 1865, they were hanged in Warsaw. The revolt commenced to wane and end.

In Galicia, on the Austrian side, remnants of the insurgents met at the estate of the landowner Rozwadowski, an insurgent general, in the village of Hliadky. Here he reclothed them, issued money to them from the insurgent funds, and dispatched them with letters to other landowners, where they entered into service as lackeys, valets, managers, or secretaries, because many of them were educated.

The revolt lasted longest in Pidliashia (Northwest Ukraine). A priest, Father Brzóska, fought against the Muscovites until April 26, 1865. After the failure of this heroic revolt, the Tsarist government commenced brutal repressions against the insurgents. The Tsar dispatched his executioner, Alexander Berg, to Warsaw. In Lithuania, Muravyev was nicknamed "the hangman." The notorious Muscovite brutalities commenced, ignoring the fact that thousands had already perished in lesser and greater skirmishes and battles. According to Polish historians, 30,000 insurgents were killed in battle, over 1,500 were shot by the Muscovites, and 150,000 men and women were exiled into Siberia.<sup>16</sup>

<sup>17)</sup> Watra-Przewłocki, op. cit., p. 529.

<sup>18)</sup> Ibid., p. 534.



Persecution of the revolutionary youths.

In Ukraine, on the right bank of the Dnieper, the Tsarist government closed all Polish schools and Catholic monasteries and confiscated many Polish estates. In this manner, Moscow rid itself of Polish influences and commenced to russify Ukraine. All of Poland's heroic efforts came to nothing. Moscow brutally punished the insurgents and the more nationally-conscious citizens, and also advanced against the Ukrainian movement. Had the Poles adopted the principle of real freedom, equality, and brotherhood instead of endeavoring to reconstruct the historical Poland of 1772, the Ukrainian people would have supported them because they would have felt that they were fighting for their own rightsfor their own statehood. The Ukrainian peasants were reluctant to fight for a renewed Polish serfdom, and in retribution, they even captured insurgents and handed them over to the Tsarist government, or themselves defeated the insurgent bands, although there were exceptions to this.

The peasants in the village of Horodyshche in Podilia, relate the following incident:

In the unit led by the landowner Cinski there was among the rebels a Ukrainian. When the landowner was severely wounded by a Cossack spear and fell unconscious at the edge of the forest near the border, this Ukrainian picked him up and carried him half alive through the forest to the Austrian side. There the rest of the insurgents helped bring their officer to his manor. In appreciation the landowner presented the Ukrainian with twenty morques of land, and built him a house.

Although this Ukrainian fought for Polish interests, he was nevertheless, a sincere Ukrainian and remained so until his death.<sup>19</sup>

The January revolt was one more practical lesson for the Polish nation, or better, for the Polish leading circles. It is well to remember that in addition to heroism and selfsacrifice, concrete political plans and proper understanding of others are necessary.

<sup>19)</sup> Ya. K.: The Traces of the Polish Insurrection of 1863, "The New Pathway." Winniper. June 24, 1965, No. 50.





### The memorial medal of 1863.

### IV. INFLUENCE OF THE REVOLT OF 1863 UPON POLISH - UKRAINIAN RECIPROCITY

The year 1863 had an effect on Polish-Muscovite mutual relationships similar to the effect that the year 1709 had upon Ukrainian-Muscovite relations. Outwardly, the defeat in 1907 of Hetman Ivan Mazeppa at the City of Poltava brought no unusual changes; the consequences were of an internal character. After Poltava, Moscow hated the Ukrainian resistance—in fact, the whole Ukrainian people. The "January Revolt of 1863" was of similar consequence to the Polish liberation movement, bringing down lasting Russian antagonism upon the heads of the Polish people.

After these dates, Moscow breathed with vengeance upon both nations. Following the January Revolt, reactionary Muscovite circles (publicist M. Katkov) commenced simultaneously to campaign against the Ukrainian cultural rebirth movement. The Minister of Internal Affairs, P. Valuyev, came to the assistance of Katkov with his famous injunctions and secret circulars. It was then that all Ukrainian Sunday schools were closed, issuance of books for educational work was prohibited, publication of the "Chernyhivsky Lystok" (Leaf), a publication, was discontinued, and a series of arrests conducted. Among others arrested were O. Konysky, P. Chubynsky, P. Yefymenko, V. Loboda, and S. Nis.')

<sup>1)</sup> Encyclopedia of Ukrainian Science, p. 4-478.

Repressions against the Ukrainians were the result of Polish armed revolt. Moscow, destroying Polish cultural and political activities, decided to ruin, at the same time, the Ukrainian rebirth movement; accordingly, it began forcibly to Russify Ukraine. The Polish revolt was to the Russians a threatening "memento", for they feared that the Ukrainians would follow suit.

Following the unsuccessful insurrection, Polish-Ukrainian mutual relationship definitely cooled. The Ukrainian populace was inimical toward the Poles. True, the attitude of the intellectuals was less inimical. Intellectuals expressed opposition towards the intentions to revive Great Poland and annex Ukraine to it, but they sympathized with the Poles, especially exiles and emigres, and accepted the struggle against Muscovite imperialism and despotism. Maria Markovych (Marko Voychok), during her stay beyond the border, kept up lively communications with former Polish insurgents.

Sigmund Sierakowski, who was hung by Muravev, was enchanted with Shevchenko's works, and he called Shevchenko "brother and father". Sierakowski was born in Wolyn and was one of the few Poles who understood Taras Shevchenko's works well. He helped immensely in ransoming Shevchenko from serfdom, and was frequently a mediator in the sale of the latter's painting. After his return from exile, Sierakowski wrote the verse, Poslaniye (Appeal), which was an appeal to the Poles in Ukraine to reconcile themselves with the Ukrainian nation in accordance with ideas announced by Shevchenko. At that time, there existed for both Shevchenko and Sierakowski two enemies — the Muscovite Tsardom and the Polish gentry — the magnates. As early as 1849, Shevchenko was on friendly mutual relations with Przewlocki, Staniewicz, Zeligowski (Sova), and other rebels.

A majority of them participated in the "January Revolt". If the Polish nobility, like this group of Poles — friends of Shevchenko — had followed the ideas expressed by the Ukrainian national prophet, matters would have been different. The failure of the revolt in Ukraine in 1863 was the natural result of the class egoism and politico-national shortsightedness of the Polish chauvinists. These "former Poles" (chlopomany) — Antonovych, Rylsky, Poznansky, Mychalchuk,

and others — were the ones who endeavored, without success, to correct the serious errors in thinking of the Polish majority.

Kulish's attitude toward the Polish revolt of 1863 was inimical. He considered that the reconstruction of historical Poland of 1772, of which the Polish rebels dreamed, would be disastrous for the Ukraine. He was commissioned by the Moscow government, in 1864, to quite an important position in Warsaw. Other Ukrainians did not regard this appointment favorably because they thought it improper for a Ukrainian to be an instrument of the enemy in the depression of another nationality. True, in 1882, Kulish changed his ideas, wrote Krashanka (Easter Egg), dedicated to Shevchenko and Mickiewicz, and summoned the Ukrainians and Poles of Galicia to a peaceful and brotherly understanding. Naturally, when he met up with the Polish-Ukrainian reality face to face, he abandoned his ideas and journeved to Vienna.2)

Kostomarov was also in lively communication with Polish political groups. In the summer of 1863, on the brink of the uprising, he resided in Vilno. Here, he became acquainted with the old Polish poet and archeologist Odyniec, who was a friend of Mickiewicz. He also met Count Tyszkiewicz and others. He was invited to a session of the Archeologic Commission, which adopted a purely Polish character. The head of the Commission, Tyszkiewicz, greeted him, and Kostomarov thanked him - in Polish. These events were turbulently received by those present, although later, Moscow chauvinists reproched Tyszkiewicz and Kostomarov for their activities. In 1869, Kostomarov commenced to print his work, The Last of the Polish Republic, in the Russian nik European Herald). He displayed complete respect toward the efforts of Poland to achieve its statehood and even, to a certain degree, based his Book of the Existence of the Ukrainian Nation on Mickiewicz's Ksiegi Pielgrzumstwa Polskiego (Books of Polish Pilgrimage). However, he never agreed with the aggressive plans of the Polish chauvinists.3)

<sup>2)</sup> Dm. Doroshenko, Panteleymon Kulisch, Leipzig, pp. 191-193.

<sup>3)</sup> Dm. Doroshenko, Mykola Iranovych Kostomarov.

Leipzig, p. 64 et seq. It is well to state here that concerning his titude toward the Poles, he expressed himself thus: "Polish 'I' and Ukrainian



T. Ryl's'kyi, M. Ryl's'kyi and V. Antonovych

The question arises - why, despite sufficiently good propaganda among the Ukrainian populace, did the Ukrainians oppose the insurrection rather than to support it. There are many answers to this. The most important factor was that the revolt was conducted not for the Ukrainian cause but for Polish Empire ideals of a Utopian nature, such ideals being anti-Ukrainian statehood ideals. Only slightly less important was the fact that the revolt itself was prepared and conducted rather frivolously, and it was - of vital importance in subsequent events - unconsolidated. Some of the participants in the insurrection wrote very illuminating reports. Joseph Janowski, a member of the "Popular Government", wrote that all the work of the Central Committee was not seriously treated by the "Whites" who called it the contemptuous work of "snobneses" and "fools".4) At the brink of the insurrection, the administration of the "Whites" commissioned Klemens Junosza to investigate the leaders of the insurrection to determine their value. Junosza carried out his mission and wrote that the representative of the "Reds" in that particular town was a local apothecary, a known idiot, whom it was not worthwhile to visit.5)

Good! But someone will say, "But these are the thoughts of political opponents", therefore, we shall listentothe words of the "Reds". The chief of the "Reds", Sigmond Padliewski, dispatched Oksinski to the terrain where the insurrection was to occur, and he stated, as recorded by Oksinski, "I am sending you to your doom; the "Whites" 'dominate there; there are no Poles". Therefore, he sent him to members of the Muscovite organization, "Land and Will", thinking them to be closer to Oksinski than his brother Poles who were of a different political conviction.6)

<sup>&#</sup>x27;I' have separated during the span of centuries to such a distance that a Pole in his demands or efforts cannot comprehend the nature of a Ukrainian, and a Ukrainian does not want to enter into the nature of a Pole". (Ivan Cholmskyj. History of Ukraine, p. 820).

<sup>4)</sup> Joseph Kajetan Janowski, Memorials of January Insurrection. Lviv, Library "Ossol"., p. 25.

<sup>5)</sup> Joseph Pilsudski. Year 1863. Palestine, 1944, p. 15.

<sup>6)</sup> Ibid., p. 16.

And what was the attitude of the Polish priesthood toward the revolt? Here is an example. The rebels from Bila Pidlaska, on the brink of the insurrection, went to church to "reconcile themselves with the Lord". They went to confession en masse, but the priest refused absolution. He said, "Go. murder! Plunder!... No absolution, absolutely none!" The author of these notes, Roginski, saw that his idea was collapsing; therefore, he entered the church and ordered the pastor to give absolution, shouting, threatening him with a death sentence, and, in general, forcing his submission."

And here is another picture from Langiewicz's camp. A Polish delegation went to him and requested that, in consideration of monetary ransom, he release the people and forsake the irrational deed. Langiewicz declined the proposition, and the delegates then denounced him to the Moscow government.<sup>6</sup>)

This was not the only method of denounciation and reports by the enemy. How many "Whites" went, together with sympathizers of Wielopolski, to the enemy in Warsaw, demanding from the Muscovites suppression of the "madness" in the blood as quickly as possible?

Joseph Pilsudski, in his report entitled Year 1863 gives the characteristics of the commanders of the revolt. This characterization is not at all complimentary. Stated briefly, there was no agreement among the Poles concerning the problem of the revolt and the revolt itself. It is obvious that even the most revolutionary and indulgent elements of the Ukrainian groups observed this disorder and were aware of all the rivalry. Seeing no advantage for Ukrainians in this revolt, they did not desire to participate in this insurrection even though they hated the Muscovites and sympathized with the Poles as a nation and as revolutionists.

After the unsuccessful revolt and after brutal settlement with the rebels, and, in fact, the entire Polish populace, Polish-Ukrainian reciprocity cooled even more. The foolishness in the preparation of the revolt and lack of consolidation of

<sup>7)</sup> Joseph Pilaudaki, op. cit., p. 16.

<sup>•)</sup> Ibid., p. 17.

<sup>\*)</sup> Ibid., p.1-84.

all creative Polish forces were forces resulting in the inability of the Poles to awaken sympathy in Ukraine. But what was the attitude of the Poles toward Ukrainians? The best document of that period was a book written by Teofil Szumsky.10) This book describes the events which occured directly before and during the revolt in Ukraine. The author depicts the Polish-Ukrainian reciprocity during those times. It would appear that the narrative is taken from life, and, therefore, truthful; however reviewing the criticism at that time and analyzing the events, we see that this is not so.

The principal heroes of the narrative are the gentry and intelligentsia -- Polish as well as Ukrainian. The names are fictitious. Much space is dedicated to community, aminu, oguls, and chlopomany, members of the community, but all of it is befuddled and entangled, which evidences that the author is not familiar with the subject matter --- that he was writing from hear-say.

In his tale, the Ukrainians are depicted in dark shadows while the Poles are heroes and knights. Not one of the Ukrainian characters is perfect — all have some "sins" or "faults", while the Poles are ideal. We are interested in the revolt, itself. Arms were brought to a nobleman's estate in the village of Trochyska. The Muscovite administrators, together with the peasants, surrounded the estate and a skirmish ensued. Secretly, the arms were successfully transported to Kiev, but either the defenders of the revolt perished under the blows of the peasants' helves and Muscovite bullets, or they were exiled to Siberia.

As we see it, the book is paradoxical in content, but because of this, it expresses beautifully the general opinion of the Poles regarding Ukraine and Ukrainians. It is, therefore, valuable as a contemporary document on Polish-Ukrainian relationships.

After their defeat, the majority of the former participants of the insurrection were also inimical toward the Ukrainians. The Galician Liam, a Polonized German, and an active member of the "Reds", the editor of underground publications (Comet 1, Ovad (Insect), Comet II, Shouter, Forge)

<sup>10)</sup> Teofil Szumsky, On the Ruins, Lviv. 1866.

and a participant in the revolt, took a radical chauvinistic stand toward the Ukrainians. His criticism of the insurrection, itself, especially its leadership, was very accusing and severe...)

Other participants in the revolt — the Galician group, in general — took an inimical attitude toward the Ukrainians, although their voices were sober or semi-sober. One of the participants of the revolt, the known Polish agent in Galicia. S. Tarnowski, acknowledged the Ukrainian problem and even defended or justified a whole series of minor incidents. He stated:

Give it (Ukraine) a chance to grow and develop. When it matures, it will discard the belt and push the protector aside. Give it all that is necessary for development; give even that which today it cannot utilize. Give it all it desires. Naturally, not forests or pastures, because to begin the rebirth of Rus' (Ukraine) from the demoralized populace would be disgraceful and foolish; not division of Galicia, for this would be a solemn maneouver against the Polish campaign. But give them immediately and without deliberation whatever they demand for the schools, courts, and government. (2)

There were political heads who fully comprehended the weight of the Ukrainian problem in the struggle of Poland with Moscow. This same S. Tarnowski perhaps characterized it best:

Here in Galicia, we should not destroy the Ukrainian populace, but, rather, we should properly support it; by so doing, we shall strengthen it on the sub-Dnieper. Here in Lviv, let us give it the opportunity to develop and, within a short time, it will absorb the juices of Wolyn, Podolia, and Ukraine. Here, it will be reared in the school of western culture; there, it will wage war. There will be a Ukraine ("Rus'" in the original), but a Ukraine fraternal with Poland, both dedicated to one cause. (3)

<sup>11)</sup> Jan Liam, Wyber Kronik, Warsaw, 1954, pp. 107-109.

<sup>(2)</sup> Kazimierz Wyka, Stanczyk's Portfolio on the Background of Gullolan History in the Years 1859-1869. Wrocław, 1951, pp. 137-138.

<sup>13)</sup> Kazimierz Wyka, op. cit., p. 138.



M. N. Muravev-Vilenskii.

It is another thing that these Polish politicians gave no thought to the independence of Ukraine; they dreamed of a reciprocal union of Ukraine with Poland (obviously, advantageous to Poland), but this dream was unrealistic even at that time, for there could be no thought of such a union when Ukrainian national consciousness in Galicia had already outgrown those ideas. The Ukrainian national movement began to take on more concrete form and changed in aspect from the idealistic to the positive. Polish-Ukrainian mutual relationship almost broke, and a portion of the "former Poles" took an all-Ukrainian attitude, while others opposed all that was Ukrainian—everything that was not yet Polonized or in the Polish interest. A portion of those who were undecided ("in the middle") had little influence and were disliked by both Ukrainians and Poles.

#### V. CONCLUSION

Preparation for the "January Revolt of 1863" and the revolt, itself, indicate how improper it was to approach a problem just to preserve good neighborly Polish-Ukrainian relations. The Poles should not only have provided for consolidation of all their forces but also they should have reviewed their political aspirations. They should not have approached the matter fantastically, but realistically. The Ukrainian publicist, O. Nazariyiw, wrote on this subject in the journal, Illustrated Ukraine, in 1913. He said:

An aureole of victory and martyrdom surrounds the people who died heroes and non-heroes; a fond, pleasant memory remains of the long ago, supposedly beautiful, gigantic, past act. There exists a collosal mass of various articles about events and people who died their own or "not their own" deaths; there is a heap of written and printed material, not all of which meets the tests of validity. It is most important for us to remember that amid this wealth of materials, a valuable historical lesson for the future has been lost by misinterpretation and distortion."

<sup>14)</sup> O Nazariyiw, 1863 Uprising and Ukraine, "I, U.", p. 5.

Historians should analyze these matters more deeply; they should analyze them, not for the purpose of obtaining favors from the Poles, but to comprehend the objective truth regarding these painful matters. Historians also should analyze the facts, cast off the false reflection of these events by Polish historians and pseudo-historians, and refurbish our past. It is proper to reveal in a true light that which is painted in the most black colors by some Polish chauvinists. This effort is essential for the mutual interests of both the Polish and Ukrainian nations. There are many documents in Polish historical literature on this subject. This matter has been considered from all angles; there has been both praise and criticism, but - what is most important (and all historians agree on this) — there persists the idea that the Ukrainian lands are a sort of lebensraum for the Poles. No one anywhere ever properly explained this matter. When it is discussed, it is spoken of only in operating phrases. Even the Polish Socialist, Limanowski, wrote in his brochure entittled Socialism as an Essential Symptom in the Development of History (Lviv. 1879):

In the last revolt in 1863, the "Gold Act" assured and promised the Rus' populace for eternity "freedom of rights and religion of whatever denomination, use of their language in schools, courts, and other civil offices". 15)

These distorted phrases were analyzed by M. Drahomanov (see his work, *Historical Poland and the Great Russian Democracy*, Geneva, 1882, and the article, "Ukrainian Communities Prior to Polish Socialism and Polish Patriotism") who stated:

... this "Gold Act", to which Limanowski referred, refers not at all to the "Rus' people", — independent of Poland — but to some sort of "village people of Podolia, Wolyn, and Ukraine" (Kievan province). At the time of the Polish revolt of 1863. the "Gold Act" had already shown its weakness (May 1863), and, in the first summons on January 22, 1863 of the "Polish Popular Government" to revolt, there was reference

<sup>15)</sup> Mychajlo Drahomanov, Selected Works. Prague-New York, 1987, p. 222. See also p. 82.

to one "Polish-Lithuanian-Rus' nation". In addition, among the patriots, leaders of the revolt, and even among democrats such as Microslawski, there were those who found that the "Popular Government" agreed to "divide Poland", relegating too much freedom to "Lithuanian and Rus' "."

Unquestionably, there was reaction among the Ukrainians to the Polish activity — even to the revolt itself — at that time. An abstract from Podniprowetz's letter entitled, "Where There Is No Independence, There Is No Federation" is the best document on this subject:

now Polish letters clamor—Poland to the Dnieper—stating that Lithuania and Ukraine not only should, but would, voluntarily, follow the Poles. They forget, or want to forget, that 16 millions of common people, who think of nobility as one with the Poles and from whom gentry-Poles now suck blood and plunder their last crumb of bread, will never serve to free the Poles from Muscovite serfdom, because they have not yet forgotten Polish slavery.")

A more decisive answer to the Poles is given by Ivan Franko in his article, "Our Viewpoint of the Polish Problem". Franko writes:

Whoever reviews the history of Polish insurrections in our era—in 1831, 1846, and 1863—will read in it, in bloody print, the written history of a systematic and incurable blindness. Time after time, loud unmerciful facts warned the Poles that in our era the ideas of an old Polish state, and of a historical Poland, must be considered outmoded and irretrievably fatal; however, with a tenacity worthy of a better cause, with an enthusiasm arousing profound pity, with true tragic fatality, one generation of Poles after another trusts itself into this bottomless abyss and perishes in it. Time after time, the Poles have been convinced that all peasants of former Polish lands, without regard to nationality, abhored even the mention of a historical Poland; nevertheless, the Polish nationalists live in the conviction that the slogan, "Poland from Sea to Sea".

<sup>16)</sup> Mychajlo Drahomanev, op. cit., p. 222.

Polniprowetz, "Where There Is no Independence, There Is No Federation", Slove, No. 16-19, Lviv. 1863.

is some sort of charm word which, in time, if it is thrust among the masses of the populace, will, like an electrical current, agitate all hearts and raise all hands toward the reconstruction of this paradise. ')

It is understood that with such a policy the Poles not only could not unite the Ukrainians, but, to the contrary, could only repel them. In fact, this professed ideology succeded in making the Ukrainians extremely hostile toward the Poles. Because of this, as M. Slavinski writes in his History of Ukraine, "the peasants replied on masse by the production of simple spears in the village smithees (forges), and the members of the Ukrainian Kievan community, not knowing how matters would turn, began to organize cossack corps in Kozhemiaka, in Preortsi, and in Demiyiwtsi (in Kiev) with a view to advancing, armed, against the Poles in the event of Polish victory"."

It is because of this policy that the insurgents and the Polish upper strata impelled a section of the Polonized gentry to return to the lap of the native populace. They broke with Poland and coalesced in the Ukrainian riverbed. Joheph Pilsudski considers the "January Revolt" a result of a Polish provocation, incited by the military conquest of Marquis Wielopolski. 20) The revolt brought about greater Muscovite terror, which, as we know, had painful consequences not only upon the Poles but also upon the Ukrainians.

The Utopian Polish slogan, "From Sea to Sea", which the Poles still popularize, not only among themselves, but also among foreigners, should once and for all be eliminated from the ideas of Polish statehood. Such slogans are, as I. Franko wrote, "a betrayal of Polish nationality"." To state at the present date that such a Poland existed at one time is futile. There is no justification for such a statement for two reasons; firstly, there should be deep analysis into the question of how this conglomeration of international elements existed; secondly, it should be remembered that history gives no examples of a

Franko, "Our Views on the Polish Problem", Dilo, No. 36, 37, 38, and 39, Lviv. 1888.

<sup>19)</sup> Maksym Slavinsky, History of Ukraine, Pedebrady, 1934, p. 157

<sup>20)</sup> Joseph Pilsudski, op. cit., p. 1-31.

<sup>21)</sup> I. Franko, op. cit., No. 38-89.

state (not people) which, having rolled to a downfall, has later risen again in its past form. Referral to treaties concluded several hundred years ago is also unrealistic. Conditions of an union or treaty are not similar to the state of matrimony which exists to the end of life. Ukraine has signed hundreds of treaties with its neighbors, but it would be ridiculous to refer to these treaties today for the purpose of returning to the conditions existing at the time such treaties were signed. Concerning Polish messianism in the East, much can also be said, but in our era, it is unworthy of criticism.

We conclude our brief research with the words of B. Didycky:

... We recommend that our neighbor brothers endeaver first to erase with good deeds the memory of the dark past; then they could count on some sort of sympathy that much sooner.<sup>22</sup>)

<sup>22)</sup> Reply of B. Didycky in article "Polemics against 'General Survey' and 'Glos'," published in No. 2 of Slove in 1881.



# A P P E N D I X

# POLACY - LITWINI - RUSINI!

Nainą bie zaprzezenia Proczyclością narodorog był obahod Unu Lilioy z Poleką dopuliwny za hygminia. Augusta II w Lublinie - Unua la jednak byla tytko formalnością i niejako zaliwieralicniem rzeczywielego i dobrowolnego połączenia harodow za panowania Madyslama Jaguelly - Wypadak kiu słanowi jedną z najpijkniejszych pamiątik w naszyck dziejach - Pominac podobne wydarzenie dziejowe bie nadania mu własciwego znaronia dlawih obicnej nie uswięce powszechnym narodowym obchodem lak drogiy dla nas pamiątki byłoby zaparonia ię w obie Europy słanodu i suminia własnego swojej przestosu z przystości - Nzywamy więc bry starody połączone, aby tem servem przyjely odeżneg naszą jakiem ich przodkowie przyjeli weżinanie na zjażd Horodolcki i turzymy wiele z glienasz w każdym milijącymi Ojczyną z siowbodą, zyska przychylne uznanie. Obchod rocznie braterskiego połączenia słanodow odbywaczy bydue w musicu Horodle nadowinem policzeniem to Nojewodztowi Lubelikum wiemi Chalmokiej na dniu tywana 1861 r -

Dia nadania obchodowi l'nu Horodilekiy inucienia na jakie zaelugije, miymamy najpierw Szanomne Duchowienstine hatolieko-Stowianekiego i Lacinskiego obrządku , aby tuk przez jedność cierpień i nadziu z narodem jako i przez interec hoseiola ociśle polącieny z interesem Teleki zechciało przyjac publicny i jak najszczerszy udział w obchoduu przez sicwich Biskupow Dejuilacyo hapitut , najromadzeń Zakonnych i wszelkich Korporucyi Duchowych m granicach dawny Polski będących ...

Newtrany Tomarzystwa houkewe Leterachie, I'nivoerzytety Redakoje Polskich i Russiuh Buennikum Tomarzystwa i Spotki Przemystowa. Niasta'i Korporucye Polskich i Russiuh Buennikum Tomarzystwa i Spotkie ciuta gwieczni miyace puoną organizacyc, aby przesiu Benutacyc w obekodzie Hirodolskim udział przyjac zeckcialu. Narod nasz w ten tylko sposub reprezentowany potruli obchodziu Norodelskieniu nadac powszochni i narodowe znaczenie. Dla odżywienia zatem tradycyi naszej pakotoć dla historycznych w politycznych więdow zochcą przybyć wszysoy do Miasta Norodla na dniu w norddowała 1861. roku o godzinie 99 rano.

## WYKAZ ZIEM I WOJEWÓDZTW mających się znajdować w Horodle 10. Pardriernika 1861 r

Wojewoduroa Pornańskie, Kaliskie, Sieradzkie; Tiemia Wieluńska, Wojewoduwa Łgoryckie, Brzesko-kujawskie, Inowraciawskie, Tumia Bobrzyńska, Wojewoduwa Płockie, Macowie chie; Tiemia Ratoska Wojewodztwa Chelmińskie, Malborgskie, Pomorskie, Pruskie, Brakowskie; Tiemia Oswiecimska, Talorska, Wojewodztwo Sandomirskie, Mięstwo Siewierskie Wojewodztwa Kijowskie Russkie Tiemia Tydaczewska, Przemystska, Halicka Chelmska Wojewodztwa Wolyńskie, Podolskie, Lubelskie Belzkie, Podla, skie Braclawskie Gernichowskie Wieluńskie Trockie, Tystwo Imudzkie, Wojewodztwa Smoleńskie, Wowogrodzkie, Polockie Wielskie Brzesko-Lilewskie, Micisławskie Mińskie, Jotaneskie, Kurlandzkie ...

invitation to the four hundred fiftieth anniversary of Horodlo.

### "GOLD ACT" OF 1863.

Content of "Gold Act" No. 1:

In the Name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost! Gold Act to the peasant populace:

Having risen together with Poland and Lituania against Moscow domination, to win eternal freedom and prosperity for our entire country, we declare before God. the whole world, and its people, that we desire no other happiness for our dear country and will achieve it nowhere else but in the will, freedom, equality, and happiness of all citizens, of all faiths, and conditions. Desiring the greatest happiness for the peasant populace, for eternity, we resolve, that —

- 1. The peasant populace in villages, in the gentry and state granges, freeholders, tenants, and emancipated people, will be, as of today, forever free, at liberty and equal in rights with other citizens of our country;
- 2. They can have and do have the right freely to migrate from place to place; no one will interfere with this.
- 3. They can have and do have the right to attend all schools and serve in the civil service, just as other citizens.
- 4. Every proprietor, whether of gentry or state villages, each tenant, gentry and freeholder, receives irreversibly and for eternity, squireship of that land which he holds to this date, together with the orchards, gardens, and whole farmsteads, without any payment, obligation, bondage, or rent, and he will only pay land taxes and perform public service. The squires will be paid for those community lands from the general national treasury.

- 5. The principal National Administration will designate monetary fees from the hierarchal funds and income for village priests so that they will not need to take money from the populace for spiritual services.
- 6. Estate employees, lodgers, ex-soldiers, and all those who are self-employed who join us in freeing our country from under Moscow domination, whether they return from war in good health or wounded, will receive for eternity three acres of land from the regal estates.
- 7. Investing the village populace with the above proclaimed rights, freedom, equality, and land ownership, we guarantee and everlastingly promise them the right of free religious worship of whatever denomination they wish, and the use of their language in schools, court, and in all land settlements.

All the above written declaration to the village populace of Wolyn. Podolia, and Ukraine, is preserved for the protection of rights under this Act and proclaimed before the people of the entire world and the Almighty God.

Let there be peace and prosperity for all from today to eternity! We place the fate of the nation in God's keeping, wishing all people eternal happiness, and we issue this Act to the Provincial Administration of each province.

### National Government

In Warsaw, on the Resurrection of Christ, March 31 (April 12), 1863.

Content of "Gold Act" No. 2.

To the Village Populace:

We, together with Poland and Lituania, having risen against Moscow domination in order to achieve eternal freedom and prosperity for the entire country, declare before God, the whole world, and its people that we desire no other happiness for the good of our country and seek it nowhere else, except in the liberty, freedom, equality, and happiness

of all our residents, regardless of their faith and position. Desiring the greatest happiness for our village populace, for all eternity, we resolve that:

- 1. The populace in the villages, in the gentry and state granges, freeholders, tenants, and the like, are from this date free, at liberty and equality in all rights with other citizens of our country.
- 2. They can have and do have the right to migrate from place to place, at their discretion, and no one will interfere in this.
- 3. They can have and do have the right to attend all schools and be elected to serve their country equally with other citizens.
- 4. They have the right, together with others, to elect from among themselves voters to courts, councils, and to administrations of village, county, land, and the supreme national council.
- 5. Equally with others, they will judge and counsel only through their own courts and powers, composed of the elected, who must protect sacred justice, laws, safety of persons, and the property of each.
- 6. National taxes, land obligations, and the drafting of people for national military service will be rendered only according to the decisions and permission of the supreme national council, composed of electors from the whole country.
- 7. The arable fields, hayfields, and orchards, whether of gentry or state holding, which the villages held on rental or exchange for labor, or ransom basis, from this date and for all time will be the property of each proprietor free of cost. The squires will be paid for these lands from the State Treasury.
- 8. The allotment of land to the village populace who up until now did not possess it because of poverty, or who got nothing for their trouble (bobyli), to tenant-farmers, estate employees, etc., will be duly decided by the Supreme State Council.
- 9. Freeholders and tenant-gentry will have for eternal holding, equally with the villagers: orchard fields and arable land from landed estates, wherever they are abundant, for payment from the National Treasury or Crown estates.

11. In addition to the aforestated, to everyone who takes up arms with us against Moscow domination, and returns, either in good health or wounded, an allotment will be made of not less than six acres of land and orchards from the Crown estates, or a lifelong equity from the National Treasury.

12. Investing the village populace with the above declared rights, liberties, civil equality in all before each, and land ownership, we guarantee and forever promise them the right of freedom of religion of whatever denomination, use of their language in schools, courts, and in other civil settlements.

We swear before the people, the whole world, and Almighty God that all the aforementioned as written and announced to the village populace of Podolia, Wolyn, and Ukraine is given in defense of rights under this Act. Into God's keeping, we place the fate of our people, tho whom we wish all prosperity, and we issue this Act to the District Administration of each province.

## Provisional National Officers



# Extract from "Moskovskie Vedomosti" N. 105. 1861.

Въ Богуславъ, Кіевской губерній, въ имфній графа Константина Браницкаго, Поляки, служащіе при экономіи, въ чисать 300 человыхъ (иные говорять 500), собрались передъ костеломъ, гдъ коендзъ благословилъ ихъ оружіе и отслужилъ молебенъ. Послъ того толия мятежниковъ послада къ православному священнику съ требованіемъ, чтобъ онъ прочель крестьявамъ грамоту, въ силу которой имъ даруются помъщичьи земли и усадьбы, а равно и земли принадлежащия къ госу-Арственнымъ имуществамъ, подъ темъ лишь условіемъ, чтобы Уврайна стала дъйствовать за одно съ Польшей. Священника не нашли, и одинъ изъ мятежниковъ прочелъ православному вароду эту грамоту, напечатапную золотыми буквами и скрыпленную печатью. Потомъ бунтовщики роздали народу множество прокламацій такого же содержанія. Крестьяне спокойно смотрели на это зрелище и какъ будто изъ любопытства пошли въ слъдъ за вооруженною тодпой, которая стала пробираться въ лесъ, где находился ея обозъ. Но не успели гости убраться, какъ крестьяне вооружились чвыъ попало, топорами, вольния, косами, окружили лъсъ и бросились на мятежниковъ, выпомъ изъ ружей мятежники убили и ранили крестьянъ. Завизался бой. Раздраженные крестьяне перебили до сотим своихъ благодътелей, столько же перевязали и доставили начальствующему надъ подоспъвшимъ войскомъ, которое явивось во время чтобы довершить побъду крестьянъ. Крестьяне не услоконансь пока не общариан всв авса и не переловнан бажавшихъ бунтовщиковъ.

# KOMITET CENTRALNY

JAKO TYMCZAMIWY

# Rząd Narodowy.

Editorial frm "Moskovskie Vedomosti" 6.2.1861

Интрига, везды интрига, коварная језуитская интрига, језуитская и по евоему происхожденію, и по своему характеру!

Еще задолго до вооруженного возстанія въ Польшт, эта интрига начала евои дъйствія. Все что въ нашемъ обществъ, до сихъ поръ еще не признанномъ какъ слъдуетъ и существующемъ какъ будто въ тайнъ, все что завелось въ немъ нечистаго, гнилаго, сумасброднаго, она сумъда прибрать къ рукамъ и организовать для своихъ целей. Наши жалке революціонеры, сознательно или безсознательно, стали ея орудіями. Нашъ нелъпый матеріализмъ, атензмъ, всякаго рода эманципацін, и смфшныя и возмутительныя, нашли въ ней дъятельную себъ поддержку. Она съ радостію покровительствовала всему этому разврату, и распространяла его всеми способами. Она умела вызывать некоторыя выгодныя ей административныя распоряжения; она отлично умьда пользоваться крайнею анархіей въ системъ нашего народнаго просвъщенія; она садилась на школьную скамью, она взлізала на учительскую каесару, и безъ сомитнія нертако случалось, что иной анбералъ-наставникъ, еще менъе зрълый умомъ чъмъ его двънадцатильтній воспитанникъ, проповьдуя космонолитизмъ или безвъріе, служиль чрезъ десятыя руки органомъ іезуштской питриги и очень опредъленной національности, рывшейся подъ земьею и во мракъ подкапывавшейся подъ всв корни русской общественной жизни.

Эта питрига, разумъется, не упустила воспользоваться и управисовальскими тенденцівми, на которыя наше общественное мижніе еще не обратило должнаго вниманія, потому что общественного мижній у насъ не существовало, потому что общественное мижніе было у насъ случайнымъ сбродомъ всякихъ элементовъ преданнымъ на жертву всякому вліянію и всякой внтригъ.

### Дъю вотъ въ чемъ:

Русская народность несравненно менте чемъ какая либо другая великая народность въ Европъ заключаеть въ себт ръзкихъ оттънковъ. Въ Германіи, въ Пталіи, даже во Франціи, несмотря на сильную централизацию этой последней страны, вездъ есть резків особенности и мъстныя нарычія до такой степени своеобразным, что еслибы не было общаго государственнаю и литературнаго языка, то люди одной страны и одной народности не могли бы понимать другь друга и должны были бы разойдтись на множество особыхъ центровъ. Если бы не было одного пталіянскаго языка, то жителю Милана почти также трудно было бы понимать Сицилійца, Пенанца, или даже какъ своихъ въчныхъ враговъ Тедесковъ. Въ Германіи что ни мъстность, то особенное наръчіе, и до такой степени особенное, что человькь, отлично знающій понъмецки, не пойметь ни слова вы иномъ мъстномъ говоръ. Во Франціи то же самое, и то же самое въ Англіп. Во исвхъ этихъ странахъ, при могуществъ общей всьчъ цивилизаціи и литературнаго языка, существують разкія народныя особенности и разкія мастныя нарачія, которыя гораздо болае разнятся между собою чтиъ даже языки русскій и польскій. Въ Россіи несравненно менве розни въ языкв чемъ где-нибудь, и менве чвиъ гдв-нибудь рознь эта значительна. Ступайте по всей Русской земль гдь только живеть русскій народь вськъ оттънковъ, и вы безъ труда поймете всякаго, и васъ безъ труда пойметь всякій. Наиболье рызкую особенность истрытите вы въ малороссійскомъ и бълорусскомъ говоръ. Но почему это? Васелены ди эти мъста какими-либо особыми народностями, случайно присоединившимися къ русской, и вошедшими нъ

составъ ел государства? Натъ, здась исвоии жилъ русскій народъ, здъсь началось русское государство, здъсь началась русская въра, и здъсь же начался русскій языкъ. Здъсь впервые родилось историческое самосознание русскаго народа, здась явились первые памятники его духовной жизни, его образованія, его литературы. Южное и свверное, вападное и восточное народонаселенія Россіи съ самаго начала сознавали себя какъ одинъ народъ, да и нътъ ни одного признака въ исторін. чтобы между ними была какая-нибудь народная рознь, какойнибудь племенной антагонизмъ. Но Монгоды и Литва разрознили на изкоторое время русскія народонаселенія, и югозападная часть нашего народа, подпавшая подъ польское иго. долго страдала, долго обливалась кровью, и хотя отстояла себя, но тъмъ не менъе время разъединенія съ Россіей внесло въ южно-русскую рачь насколько польских элементовъ, и вообще нъсколько обособило ее, болъе чъмъ на сколько разнятся между собою другіе мъстные говоры въ Россіи.

Какъ есть вездъ, такъ естественно были и у насъ любители мъстныхъ наръчій. Дълались попытки писать стихи и разказы съ поддълкою подъ малороссійскій говоръ, но дълались всякой цван, ради куріоза, или для мвстнаго колорита, вообще въ видахъ чисто литературныхъ, подобно тому какъ во Франціи сочиняются стихи на мъстныхъ жаргонахъ, подобно тому какъ нъмецкій поэть Гебель писаль свои идиллін на алдеманскомъ нарвчін. У писавшихъ не быдо и твин замысла создать изъ мъстнаго наръчія особый языкъ и возвести его въ символъ особенной народности. Если же и встръчались нвиоторые позывы сепаратизма, если и зараждалась иногда темная мысль о разъединеній единой и нераздальной народности, то эта мысль оставалась безвредною по своей несостол-• тельности; она не могла двяствовать въ жизни, и была только оальшивымъ литературнымъ направленіемъ. Положительно вредною она могла стать только какъ примъсь из чему-нибудь другому, какъ готовое пособіе для какихъ-нибудь болве правумческих доктринъ, какъ готовое орудіе для вакой-нибудь боле серіозной пропаганды.

Года два нан три тому назадъ, вдругъ почему-то разыгралось украйноенльство. Оно ношло нарадлельно со всеми другими отрицательными направленіями, которыя вдругь овладали нашею литературой, нашею молодежью, нашимъ прогрессиннымъ чиновничествомъ и разными бродячими элементами нашего общества. Оно разыгралось именно въ ту самую пору, когда приналась двиствовать језунтская питрига по правиламъ извъстнаго польскаго катихизиса. Польскіе публицисты съ безстыдною наглостію начали доказывать Европъ, что русская народность есть призракъ, что юго-западная Русь не имфетъ ничего общаго съ остальнымъ народомъ русскимъ, и что она по своимъ племеннымъ особенностямъ гораздо болве тяготъетъ къ Польшъ. На это грубъйшее пскажение истории наша литература, къ стыду своему, отозвалась темъ же ученіемъ о каквиль-то двухъ русскихъ народностяхъ и двухъ русскихъ языкахъ. Возмутительный и нелъный софизиъ! Какъ будто возможны двъ русскія народности и два русскіе языка, какь будто возможны дв'я французскія народности и два французскіе языка! II вотъ моло-по-малу изъ ничего образовалась цълав литературная украйнофильская партія, вербуя себъ приверженцевъ въ нашей беззащитной молодежи. Истощались всъ прельщенія, чтобы связать съ этою новою неожиданною пропагандой разные великодушные порывы, разныя смутно понимаемыя тендепція, разныя сердечныя чувствованія. Изъ ничего вдругъ появились герои и полубоги, предметы поклопенія, веанкіе символы новосочиняемой народности. Явились новые Кириалы и Менодін съ удивительнъйшими азбуками, и на Божій свыть быль пущень пуфъ какого-то небывалаго малороссійскаго языка. По українскимъ селамъ начали появляться, въ бараньихъ шапкахъ, усердные распространители малороссійской грамотности, и начали заводить малороссійскія школы, въ противность усиліямъ мъстнаго духовенства, которое вмъств съ крестьянами не знало какъ отбиться отъ этихъ непрошеныхъ просвътителей. Пошли появляться книжки на новосочиненномъ малороссійскомъ языкъ. Наконецъ, однимъ про-•ессоромъ, составившимъ себъ литературную извъстность, торжественно открыта національная подниска для сбора денегь на взданіе малороссійскихъ книгъ и внижекъ.

вінадамен от мысли бросать тань подохранія на намаренія нашихъ украйнофиловъ. Мы вполив понимаемъ что большинство этихъ людей не отдають себт отчета въ своихъ стреиленіяхъ Мы отдлемъ должную дань и легковърію, и легковыслію, и умственной неарълости, и безхарактерности. Но не пора ли, по крайней мере въ настоящую минуту, подумать о томъ, что мы делаемъ? Не пора ли этимъ украйноендамъ понять, что они двлаютъ нечистое дъло, что они служать орудіемъ самой враждебной и темной интриги, что ихъ обманывають, что ихъ дурачать? Изъ разныхъ мъсть Малороссін получаемъ мы вопіющія письма объ этомъ вловредномъ явленій, которое тревожить и возмущаеть тамъ чыслящихъ и серіозныхъ людей. Нити интриги обнаруживаются все ясиве и ясиве, и ивтъ никакого сомивнія, что украйнофилы походятся въ рукахъ интригановъ; изтъ никакого сомивия, что украйнофилы служать покорнымь орудіемь заклятыхъ враговъ своей Украйны. Нашимъ украйнофиламъ пора одуматься и понять, въ какую бездич котять ихъ ввергнуть. Мы знаемъ, что самые фанатическіе изъ польскихъ агитаторовъ ожидаютъ рано или поздно особенной пользы своему двлу отъ украйнофильства, что они радуются этому движеню, и поддерживаютъ его встми способами, разумъется, прикрывая себя разными масками. Въ самомъ дълъ, ставя вопросъ о существовании русскаго народа, чего лучшаго могутъ ожидать польскіе фанатики какъ не разложенія въ собственныхъ надрахъ русскаго народа? Они не прочь и сами прикинуться завзятыми украйнофилами; имъя на мысли великій идеалъ въ лицъ Конрада Валленрода, они великодушно отрекутся отъ собственной народности въ пользу украинской и отрекутся твиъ охотиве, что украинской народности не существуеть, а существуеть только возможность произвести въ русскомъ народъ брожение, которое всего двиствительные можеть послужить цыламь враговь Россіи, поднимающимъ вопросъ о самомъ существованім ся.

Польскіе повстанцы, которые дерутся и гибнуть въ л'ясахъ, знають по крайней м'яр'я чего они хотять. Польовая народность

жела вогда-то особымъ государствомъ и имъла самостоятельное историческое существование; польский языкъ есть языкъ существующій, языкъ обработанный, имъющій литературу. Польскіе повстанцы знають чего они хотять, и желанія ихъ, при всей своей безнадежности, имъютъ смыслъ, и съ ними можно считаться. Но чего хотять наши украйнофилы? Украйна никогда не имала особой исторіи, никогда не была особымъ государствомъ: украинскій народъ есть чистый русскій народъ, коренной русскій народъ, существенная часть русского народа, безъ которой онъ не можеть оставаться тамъ что онъ есть. Несчастныя историческія обстоятельства, оторвавъ Украйну отъ русскаго корня, насильственно соединили ее на время съ Польшей: но Украйна не хотвла и не могла быть частью Польши, и изъ временнаго соединенія съ нею, вибств съ полонизмами своей мъстной молви, вынесла въчную, неугасимую національную пенависть къ польскому имени. Нигат въ Россіи, даже теперь, Поляки не возбуждають противъ себя собственно-національной ненависти; а нь Украйнъ кипить непримиримая національная ненависть къ Полякамъ. Малороссійскаго языка никогда не было, и несмотря на всъ усилія українофиловъ, до сихъ поръ не существуєть. Во множестять особенных в говоровъ югозападнаго края есть общіе оттънки, изъ которыхъ искусственнымъ образомъ можно, конечно, сочинить особый языкъ, какъ можно сочинить особый языкъ, пожалуй, даже изъ востромскаго или рязанскаго говора-Но спрашивается, изъ чакихъ побужденій можетъ возникнуть желаніе сочинить такой особый языкъ, какъ будто не достаточно уже существующаго русскаго языка, припадлежащаго не какой-либо отдъльной мъстности, но цълому народу нераздъльному и единому, при всъхъ мъстныхъ особенностяхъ и мъстныхъ наръчіяхъ, впрочемъ несравненно менъе ръзкихъ чъмъ во всякой другой европейской странь? Откуда у насъ въ Россій могло бы взяться такое побужденіе устраивать школы для преподаванія на мъстномъ наръчін и для возбужденія антагонизма между имъ и общепринятымъ государственнымъ и литературнымъ языкомъ? Кто, кромъ мономановъ, могъ бы придти къ такой мысли во Франціи, Германіи я Англіи, и каков

общество допустило бы эту мысль до осуществленія въ равмърахъ сколько-инбудь значительныхъ? Общепринятый русскій языкъ не есть какой-инбудь мъстный, пли какъ говорять, великороссийскій языкъ. Можно съ полною очевидностію доказать, что это языкъ не племенной, а историческій, и что въ его образованіи столько же участвовала съверная Русь, сколько и южная, и послѣдияя даже болье. Всякое усиліе поднять и развить мъстное нарѣчіе, въ ущербъ существующему общенародному историческому языку, не можетъ имѣть другой догической цѣли кромѣ расторженія народнаго единства.

Въ письмахъ, которыя мы получаемъ изъ Украйны, намъ сообщаютъ о ръшительномъ противодъйствии крестьянъ всъмъ этимъ поныткамъ. Въ живомъ народъ кръпко сказывается инстиктъ самосохранения. Но интриганы прибъгаютъ къ разнымъ хитростямъ. Дерзость сною они простираютъ до того что выдаютъ себя за агентовъ правительства, отправленныхъ будто бы съ цълю расшевеливать крестьянъ и донывываться не пожелаютъ ли они, чтобы дътей ихъ въ школахъ учили малороссійской грамотъ. Сельсяй людъ Малороссіи отличается особеннымъ довъріемъ къ правительству, и не разобравъ въ чемъ дъло, крестьяне могутъ попадаться на эту удочку и завялять такія желанія, которыхъ они не имѣютъ, и которыя противоръчатъ ихъ дъйствительнымъ желаніямъ и самымъ существеннымъ интересамъ.

Въ дъль народности и языка само общество должно быть на стражъ. Все что есть соріознаго, зрълаго, мыслящаго въ Українъ, особенно духовенство, должно энергически протявольйствовать интригъ и изобличать интригановъ. Мыслящіе люди должны ограждать сельскій людъ отъ происковъ, объяснять ему въ чемъ заключается ихъ смыслъ и куда они клонятся, раскрывать обманъ и растолковывать ему что правительство нивлять не можетъ заводить школы для развитія мъстнаго нарічня въ ущербъ государственному языку; не можетъ употреблять народныя деньги на такое дъло, которое явно клонится въ ослабленію и расторженію народнаго единства. Всякій сколько-нибудь мыслящій человъкъ, всякій способный принять

из сердцу общее дало и серіозно подумать объ интересахъ отечества долженъ понять, что натъ ничето пагубнае какъ систематическими усиліями поднимать мастное нарачіе на степень языка, заводить для него школы, сочинять для него двтературу, что никакая другая рознь не можетъ произвести такихъ пагубныхъ посладствій, и что одна и та же интрига, которая старалась ополячить народъ въ Балоруссіи, старается создать призракъ особой народности въ Украйна, и что посладное еще горше перваго.

несмотря на давнее отдъленіе этого края отъ Въ Галиціи, родной Россіи, писали языкомъ подходящимъ къ типу общепринятаго русскаго языка, и только въ последнее время, благодаря успліямъ нашихъ украйнофиловъ и настойчивымъ требованіямъ Поляковъ, львовская газета Слово начала отдаляться оть этого типа; она представляеть теперь самый уродянвый маккаронизмъ. Но въ Угорской Руси (въ Венгріи), куда польское вліяніе не простирается, сохраняется и блюдется въ возможной чистотъ русский языкъ, такъ что все что тамъ писалось и все что оттуда иншется въ львовскомъ Словъ, кромъ нъкоторыхъ неловкостей зъ оборотахъ ръчи, почти не разнится отъ обыкновенного русского языка. За то теперь стрійское правительство запретило журналь выходившій Угорской Руси: оно требовало чтобы наши родичи отказались отъ настоящаго русскаго языка и инсали тою же уродливою рвчью, какою пишуть вывовскіе литераторы, подъ фирмою русно угорскіе Русины объявили, что они другаго скаго языка: русскаго языка не знають, кромъ существующаго, и предпочли замолкнуть.

Какой же смыслъ можетъ имъть въ самой Россіи это такъназываемое украйнофильское направленіе? Грустная судьба постигаетъ эти украйнофильскія стремленія! Они точь въ точь совпадаютъ съ враждебными русской народности польскими интересами и распоряженіями австрійскаго правительства.

Неужели наши украйнофилы, безсознательно завлеченные въ интригу, будутъ работать на нее даже и теперь когда народъ на Украйнъ такъ энергически доказалъ свою преданность

общему отечеству, и когда, по селамъ Русскаго царства, у встхъ въ устахъ и на сердцъ имя Кіева, здатоверхаго Кіева — имя производящее могущественное дъйствие на всяваго русскаго человъка, какой бы онъ ни быль уроженець, - имя, въ которомъ быть можеть еще болве единищей силы чемъ въ пиени самой Москвы? Наши украйновилы должны пристальнъе вглядьться въ лицо софизмамъ, которыми ихъ обольщаютъ. Если русскоя земля должна быть одна и русскій народъ долженъ быть одинъ, то не можетъ быть двухъ русскихъ народностей, двухъ русскихъ ялыковъ: это очевиднъе чъмъ дважды два четыре. А если Украйна не можетъ имъть особаго политического существованія, то какой же смыслъ усилія, эти стремленія дать ей особый языкъ, особую литературу, и устроить дъло такъ чтобъ уроженецъ кіевскій современемъ бакъ можно менъе понималь уроженца московскаго, и чтобъ они должны были прибъгать къ посредству чужаго языка, для того чтобъ объясняться между собою? Какой же емысаъ искусственно создавать преграду между двумя частями одного и того же народа и разрознивать ихъ силы, тъмъ капъ только изъ взаимподъйствія ихъ силъ можетъ развиваться жизнь цалаго, благотворная для всахъ его частей?

Давно уже замѣчали мы признаки этого фальшиваго направленія въ нашей литературѣ, и неоднократно изъявляли сожальніе о томъ, что люди тратять свои силы на дѣло, отъ котораго ни въ какомъ случать добра ожидать не должно. Мы, въ Русскомъ Въстишкъ и Современной Льтописи, обращались къ здравому смыслу господъ поднизавшихся на этомъ поприщѣ, но не могли удержаться отъ негодованія, когда нѣкоторые изъ этихъ господъ вздумали было дѣйствовать посредствомъ литературнаго застращиванія, которое было у насъвъ большомъ ходу въ послѣднее время, и которое состояло вътомъ чтобы забрасывать грязью всякаго, кто рѣшался поднять независимый голосъ. Мы были очень рады, когда спустя нѣкоторое время нѣсколько кіевскихъ украйнофиловъ прислали для напечатанія свою исповѣдь, въ которой свидѣтельствомъли

чатали ихъ исповедь; намъ пріятно было верить чистоте ихъ намереній, и мы не сочли нужнымъ пускаться съ ними въ толки о безплодіи ихъ украйнофильскихъ стремленій, темъ более что въ это время начинали уже разыгрываться польскія смуты. Но за это посыпались на насъ сильные укоры язъ Мелороссіи, и насъ обвиняли въ послабленіи. Каемся въ грёхё и постараемся загладить его.

Кстати, мы считаемъ своимъ долгомъ объявить г. Костомарову, чтобъ онъ не трудился присылать въ редакцію нашей газеты объявленія о ножертвованіяхъ собираемыхъ имъ пользу изданія малороссійскихъ книгъ. Такихъ объявленій мы початать не будемъ, и каемся, что въ началъ этого года, по случайной оцлошности, эти объявленія раза два появлялись въ Московских въдолостахь. Мы искренно сожальемъ, что г. Костомаровъ ссудилъ свое имя на это дъло, и позволяемъ себь надъяться, что онъ оцьнить наши побужденія и размысливъ, быть-можетъ и самъ согласится съ нами. Мы думаемъ, что общественный сборъ на такой предметь по своимъ посавдствіямъ, если не по намвреніямъ производящихъ его лицъ, гораздо хуже чьяъ сборъ на Руси доброхотныхъ подавній въ пользу польского мятежа. Въ замънъ того мы охотно вызываемся печатать объявленія г. Костонарова, если онъ будеть собирать пожертвованія на развитіе провансальскаго жаргона во Франціи или нортумберландскаго въ Англіп. Или пусть эти суммы передасть онь въ кассу нъмецкаго національнаго ферейна на постройку германскаго олота. Лучше бросить эти деньги.... Богъ съ ними! Онъ жгутся.







One of the battles

Extract from "Moskovskie Vedomosti" N. 193. 1861.

Частный приставь г. Житохіра Тамочкинь нісколько дней тому назадь замітнять въ городі нензвістнаго ему Поляка, который нокавался ему лицомъ подозрительнымъ. Собирая негласно о немъ свідішія, чь узналь что этоть незнакомець есть поміщикъ Подольской губермія, іружбацкій, кандидать мироваго посредника, что отець его смямть въ Каменецъ-Подольскі въ тюрмі за участіе въ мятежі, что самь онъ остановился въ домі поміщицы Червинской и что о прибыми его въ Житомірь полиціи заявляемо не было.

Предложивъ незамътно свъдить за Дружбацкимъ, приставъ Тамоченцъ увналь что лича конхъ постщаяв Дружбацкій сами давно уже наводили на себя подозржие если не въ содъйстви, то въ явномъ сочувствів нятежу. Наконець 24-го імля, поутру, Таноченны получиль увідомлевіе что Дружбацкій въ слідующую ночь убажлеть нав города на почтовыхъ, по вуда-не было известно, такъ какъ подорожная была выдана неопределенно на проездъ изъ Кіева въ Житоміръ, въ Подольскую губернію и обратво. Поэтому, куда и по какой дорога предполагаль Дружбацкій направить свой путь, оставалось тайной. Считая задержавіе Дружбацкаго в произведеніе у него обыска необходимымъ, Тамочвинь немеденно какъ о своихъ подозрвниях, такъ и о своихъ наблюденіяхь доложиль начальнику губернін и получилу разрішеніе взять съ собою насвольно жандарновъ, задержать Дружбацкаго по вызваль его изъ города и обыскать, такъ пакъ предподагалась что обыскъ его ввартиры едва ли бы привель из полезнымь дезультатомь. Такъ и было сдълано: вы пяти верстахъ отъ города, въ селеніи Станищевкъ Друж бацкій быль арестовань и со всіми своими вещами отвелень вы волоствоє правленіе, гдт въ присутствін волостнаго старшины, добросовтствыхъ и жандармовъ, произведенъ у него самый индательный обыска.

Этоть обыскъ вполив полтвердиль и подогранія Тамочкина. У Дружбацкаго найдены, межді прочимы слідующіе предметы: дві желтов міли печати, съ выріжанными на пихи гербоми, составленными изъ изображеній одноглаваго орда всадника на пені и образа Божіей Матери. На одной печати была слідующая польская надписы "Котіваг Клафому Podola" (Поминесарь подольскаго управленія», а на другов было написано также по-польски: "Клаф Narodowy—Zarzad Podola" (Народное правительство—отділь подольскаго управленія», денегь 2.757 р и портфель съ разными письмами и бумагами. Наконець въ сапоті, на лівой ногі, найдень особый лапечатанный каннерть съ бумагами.

Разумбется портфель и конверть были тогчась же представлены на тальнику губерийн, въ присутствии которато обы и были формальи раземотрфии и описаны разными подлежащими властями. Въ накете отыскапиомь въ санотъ у Дружбациято оказались обиціальныя бумат польскаго революціоннаго правительства, обнаружившія что Дружбаций быль одинъ цав главныхъ агентовъ этого правительства, принишинній самое дфягельное участіє во вновь затівнемовь мятежф.

Осначенных бумагь найдено шесть. Къ инмъ были приложены гербовыя и чати найденныя у Дружбацкаго: всф бумаги имфли видъ предписаній и оглывовъ народнаго правительства и установленныхъ викоммиссаровь число ихъ составленія, именно 23-го іюля, когда Дружбацкій находился въ Житомірф, указываеть прямо что опф тутъ был и написаны! Воть оглавленіе этихъ бумагь

- 1) Отзывъ народнаго правительства, отділення исполнительнаго на Руси, въ членамъ бывшаго подольскаго комитета, 23-го іюля 1863, Ж 42.
- 2) Прединсание о мазначении помъщика Станислава Ломжинскаго прокураторомъ при народномъ подольскомъ трибуналі. 23-го іюля 1863, № 19.
- 3) Отзывъ коминесара, уполномоченнато на Руси, къ подольскому коминесару, 23-го июля 1863, № 18.
- 4) Отзывъ народняго правительства, исполнительнаго отділення на Руси, къ подольскому управленю, 23-го іюля 1863, № 43.
- 5) Отамвъ ил появностру предвычалнато пароднаго правления въвосточной Галиціи, 23-го іюля 1863. № 16.
- 6) Конія сь насьма посланнаго вь Галицію, къ главновомандующему зенералу Эдмунду (ві-ронгно Ружицкому).

Изь содержания этихъ бумагь обнаружено сатдующее:

- 1) Вы скоромы времени предполагается вторженіе Поляковы пат Галиція вы предіды западнижь туберній. Одновременно съ этямь вторженіемь вспыхнеть містное возстаніс. Влагопріятнимы для того временемь считаєтся время уборки хабба съ полей, когда крестьяне занятые жатвой не вы состоянін будуть пренятствовать возстанію.
- 2) Перевозка оружія перезт австрійскую границу, какт предполягаетть революціонное правительство, будеть воспрешена, а потому едіблано распоряженіе о доставленій оружія предь Одессу. Въ одномъ извістномъ торговомъ домі въ Одессі приготовлено значительное количеств оружія: перевозить же это оружіе на Волинь. Подолію и Украйну подрядились Еврен въ Житомірі и Бердичеві, съ обязательствомъ представить залоги равняющієся стоимости оружія.
- 3) Народная власть распространяется все более на Волыни и въ Подоліи, и есть надежда основанная на фактахъ что на Волыни и въ Подоліи все распоряженія этой власти будуть исполняться со своростію и эпергіей (??!).
- 4) Главная станція народных в сообщеній находится въ Бердичевъ, въ домъ банкира Макса Шафнагеля.
- 5) "Провинціальная власть" отправила уже агентовъ для осмотра пограничныхъ мъстъ, съ цълію сдёлать въ нихъ нужныя приготовленія для облегченія дъйствій повстанцевъ.
- 6) Въ пограничныхъ пунктахъ Годочинцахъ, Кутыче, Стшреныльче предподагается учредить арсеналы.
- 7) Въ уединенныхъ мъстахъ отдаленныхъ отъ селеній, какъ-то: пасъкахъ, хуторахъ и фольваркахъ, предполагается завести пороховые заводы. Преимущественно рекомендуется открыть такіс заводы вт Польсьъ и на границахъ Подольской губерній съ Кіевскою.

- 8) Въ санонъ Кіевъ учреждена уже лабораторная школа для обученія выдълкт пороха.
- 9) Учина илется народная почти. Съ этом цёлью въ наждомъ узадъ должит чаходиться довъренное лицо, которому отдавалась бы коррес-поили ция какъ приходящая, такъ и отходящая.
- 10. Во всёхъ ублахъ назначены уже гражданскіе чиповники, которые будуть вспомоществовать народу во исемъ до окончанія военныхъ з баствій.
- 11) Предполагается учредить жандармовъ-вішателей изь отчалиных шолодых дюдей, которые должим исполиять порученія революціоннато комитета.
- 12) Генералу Эдмунду т.-е. Ружицкому в бъжавшему за границу поель поражения мятежниковъ на Волшин, предоставлено составлть плавъ военныхъ дійствій, какъ знающему отлично ифстиссть здішняго края.
- 13) Народное правительство имжеть уже въ виду офицеровъ готовихъ прянять начальство надъ отдъльными отрядами. Списокъ этихъ офинеровъ помылается генералу и отъ него будеть ожизаться назначение ихъ въ должности.
- 14) Собраны нужных сведенія во веёхы містахы таб расположены русскіх войска и открыто уже агоятетво вы штабё Кінвекаго военна-то округа.
- 15) Получены свътбита о движени 4-го кориуса къ берегамъ Чернаго Моря и Пруга. Корпусъ этога захватить и часть Украйны.
- 16] Всф поветанцы должны быть обмунцированы эффектно, чтобы произвесть впечатлёние на крестьянъ.
- 17° Вт Варшаву вызванъ изъ Кіева представитель русской симсамистом (П). Ему выбнено въ обязаниесть избъгать всякихъ недоразумѣній съ Русскими, а напротивъ совътуется войти въ дружескія свощенія съ ними, и такимъ способомъ узцавать все то что необходимо для пользи изрода. Подобное распоряженіе послідуеть въ Подолін и Вольни.
- 18) Преднолагается во что бы то ни стало свискать расположение православных священниковъ.
  - 19) Упоминается о солфйствія польскому ділу канижь-та сановниковь
- 20) Золотыя грамоты предписывается объявлять со исею торжественностью и ветветь съ осторожностю и не иначе какъ только посла одержанія повстанцами какой-либо побады.

Кром! того, во время содержанія Дружбацкаго подъ арестомъ, замізчено быто часовымъ что къ голому тілу Дружбанкаго привязанъ обыль какой-то накетъ. Пакетъ втотъ быль тотчасъ свять и въ немъ

Румицкій быль начальникомъ лигиніоннаго штаба гъ рашихъ гойскахъ.

оказались революціонным газеты: 1) "Walka" (98 экземпляровь). 2) газета "Polska" в 3) газета "Wiadomośći z pola bitwy".

Изо всего этого видно что Поляки упорно и съ эпергіей преслам. ють свою преступную револьціонную цьль, а им въ западномъ враж въ отпоръ имъ противопоставляемъ только ругияную отписку буматъ п совершенное бездействіе. Побили повстанцевь и успокоплись на лаврахъ. Лаже теперешнес, весьма важное, открытіе пристава Тамочкана есть только счастливая случайность не болье, а не последствие правильной, постоянной и серіозно-направленной къ извъстной цъзи полицейской дінтельности. Самое это изложенное мною открытіе служник тому доказательствомь. Въ Житоміръ, въ небольшомъ городка, встального войнативнопом интеретельного ответельного отве волюціонняго комплета, а мы то сиху порт и не подозрівний втого п не знаемь изъ кого опо состоить Возграстые полици очевидно, la и каки дыствевать полицій, когда она сидеть въ камцеляріях» и упражинется въ отнисът бумать большею частыю ни къ чему не ведущихъ, гогда она бътветь на посылкахъ, когда она занята чрезвычайво важнымь даломь-врашениемъ заборовь и трогуприых столбиковы когда для открытія какого-нибудь подобрительнаго дица ей, подобио вакъ Тамочкину, нужно тратить собственныя деньти для разныху разъвадовь и для найма агентовы? Съ другой сторовы, развъ посміли бы Поляки учреждать пороховые заводы и школы для обучения пака составлять порохъ, еслибы не надвялись вполив на польскую жем ченстрацію всего крам? Пусть кто-вибудь донесеть, что пімп-то или тожи топрыть пороховой заводь -- пошлють для пзельдованіе донесенія чивовника-Поляка, и донесение окажется клеветой, и все дійствительно будеть окрыто! У насъ же всь отрасли управленія и суда буквально въ рукахи Поляковъ. Канцелярія туберватора и губериское управленіе преимущественно состоять изъ Поляковъ или, что еще хуже, изъ опоначенных Русскихъ. Сепретныя бумаги, прежде чамъ поднесутт ихъ съ подинси начальнику губерији, уже делаются известивни Полякамъ. Іри обыскахь у навовь веоднопратио находили ковій съ такихъ серетныхъ бумагъ. Управление посударственныхъ имуществы состолтъ въ Полявовь; акцизное управление тоже польское: казенная преимущественно состоить изъ Поляковъ и ублушие казначен — всъ почти Поляки; на ночть, въ приказъ общественнаго припръвія, въ судебныхъ палалахь и убядномъ судъ также преобладаетъ польскій элементь: вся врачебная управа состоить изъ Поляковъ: всі мировые посредвики Поляки, всф убздаме стряпчіе, за поключеніемъ двухъ-Появи, судебные следователи на половину Поляки. Въ Житомірском в сиротскомъ домѣ начальница заведенія и надвирательницы Польки и самое заведение служить только къ тому чтобы на русския деньги русскихъ дівочекъ обращать въ Полекъ. Вся эта администрація

вражденняя России, служить могущественнымы орудіемь при настоящиль нольских в замы захъ и вообще для усиления польского элемента въ крав. Укажу. в: показательство последняго, на следующее обстоятельство. мао по вёдомству государственных имуществъ устроить ферим на казенимъ землять в отдать ихъ въ аренду желающемъ на долгіе сроки. Несмотря на просьбы государственных врестьянь, разковто изъ пихъ получиль фермы, большею частью онъ розданы Полякамъ. Воть действіе польскаго управленія, и такъ вездь. Русскіе чиновники пресладуются завеь встан возножными способами, и въ случат унольвенія отъ должности никогда почти вновь не получать міста; Полякъ же уволенями отт должности вседь найдеть покровителей и защитвиковъ. Изъ явогих в примъровъ приведу однат. Нъкто Шостатскій, служивиній при ційствій откупной системи акнивними надопрателеми, чедовька, по собраницив много свідініяму, знающій укло, честный, остадея на штатомъ по управляения откупа. Она обратился съ просъбою къ управляющему акцизными управленіеми о принятій сто въ службу по это вызолству и получаль отказь. Одказь этогь можно обтясвить голько тымъ что Шостатскій-Русскій, а Русскихъ въ акцизное управление мастиая власть вовес не принимаетт. Напротива. Полякъ Горскій, дубенскій ублиції казначей, человіки, по собранными о немъ свідініямь геперадомь Сомякинымь и чиновникомь особыхь порученій министра финансовь Панинымъ : самый пебласопадежный, тотчась по твольнении его. Горскаго, отъ должности казначея, вновь получаетъ мьего въ казенной палать, и получаеть по предложение чев Киева, написанному на ими предебдателя каленной палаты!

Свашенники православные, которыхъ геперь старавлей привлечь Поляни на свою сторону, находятся въ крайне чезавитномъ положени. Оне не смтють объясьить врестьянамъ никакого ихъ недоразумфий с но пледыю. Положения 19-го февраля. Случись это, паны тотчасъ штють допось это священникь пьяница и подстрекаетъ крестьянь въ жиовиновению. Били премърна что по такимъ допосамъ, вслъдствие застояния административной власти, невиниме священники удалены съ приходовъ...

<sup>\*)</sup> Г. Касвит 0000 посываемь вы Волынскую туберній вля свилічельствованія уфидинивы казначействи.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### BOOKS:

- Bankowski, E.,ks. Rus Chelmska od czasu rozbioru Polski. L'viv, 1887. 298p.
- Beletskii, A. Zbornik dokumentov muzeia gr. M.N. Muraveva. Vil'no, 1906. 233p.
- Doroshenko, Dm. M.I. Kostomarov. Leipzig.
- Doroshenko, Dm. P. Kulish. Leipzig.
- Drahomanov, M. Vybrani tvory. Praha, 1937. v.I.
- Dubiecki, M. Mlodziez polska w uniwerssytecie kijowskim przed r. 1863. Kyiv, 1908. 87p. illus.
- Dubrovs'kyi, V. Selians'ki rukhy na Ukraini pislia 1861 r. Kharkiv, 1928.
- F. N. Wolyn i jego mieszkancy w 1863 r. Drezden, 1870. 138p.
- Hnip, M. Politychnyi rukh na Ukraini v 1860-ykh rr. Kharkiv, 1930. Holubets', M. Velyka istoria Ukrainy.
- Holubets', M. Velyka istoria Ukrainy. Winnipeg, 1958.
- Kadzielski, St. Wspomnienia wolynskie.Poznan, 1909. 63p.
- Karwicki, J. (Dunin). Z moich wspomnien. Warszawa, 1901. 2 v.
- Katkov, M. N. 1863 god; sobranie statei. Moskva, 1887. 2 v.
- Kholms'kyi, Iv. Istoriia Ukrainy. Minkhen 1957.
- Kubilovych, V., ed. Entsyklopedia ukrainoznavstva. Miunkhen, 1949. 2 v.
- Oryszowski, R. Czasy szkolne w Kijowie 1854/5-1862/3. L'viv, 1901. 92p.
- Pilsudski, J. Rok 1863. Jeruzalem, 1944. Rach, V.F. Povstan'e poliakov v iugo-za
  - padnoi Rossii v 1863g. Vil'no,1866.

awita-Gawronski, Fr. Konfiskata ziemi polskiej przez Rosje po r. 1831 i 1863. Krakow, 1917. 44p.

avita-Gawronski, Fr. Rok 1863 na Rusi.

L'viv, 1902-1903. 2 v.

evunenkov, V.G. Pol'skoe vosstanie 1863 g. Leningrad, 1957. 354p.

idorov, A.A. Pol'skoe vozstanie 1863 g. S.Peterburg, 1903. 256p.

lavins'kyi, M. Istoriia Ukrainy, Podebrady, 1934.

oulevement des Polonais dans les provinces sud-ouest de la Russie en 1863. Kyiv,1863. yroczynski, L. Stefan Bobrowski i mlodziez kijowskiego uniwersytetu... L'viv,1916. zumski, T. Na gruzach; powiesc. L'viv,

1866. 2 v.
ozstanie poliakov v iugo-zapadnoi Rossii v

1863 g. Kyiv, 1863. 61p. ydawnictwo materialow do historji powstania 1863-64. L'viv, 1888-94. 5 v.

O. Tunel wiezienny w Kijowie. (1863.) L'viv, 1869. 349.

przed 50 lat; wspomnienia. L'viv, 1914. 125p.

### eriodicals:

.S. Otryvki iz chernigovskikh vospominanii 1861-1863 gg. (Kiev. Starina, 1901.v.LXXII) ilyk, I. Trevoga nad svezhoi mogiloi T.G. Shevchenki. (Kiev. Starina, 1886.)

osniacki, L. Wspomnienia starca o powstaniu na Volyniu v 1863 r. (Litwa i Rus, 1913, zeszyt I, II i III.)

r. Kilka mogil ukrainskich. (Ojczyzna, 1865 Nr. 8,9,10.)

oszczyc, W. Zrodlo ruchu r.1863 i akademicy kijowscy. (Gazeta Narodowa, Lviv, 1884.)

